

COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy

Joanna Girling

Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, West Middlesex University Hospital, Middlesex, UK

Correspondence to Joanna.Girling@chelwest.nhs.uk



Listen to Podcast
dtb.bmj.com

The speed of the UK's COVID-19 vaccination programme has been breathtaking, with more than 41 million doses administered by mid-April. However, almost inevitably with such a huge and rapidly evolving programme, some aspects have not been handled so well. For years, there have been pleas for pregnant women to be involved in and not excluded from clinical trials.¹ So it is disappointing that pregnant and breastfeeding women were excluded from all COVID-19 vaccine research last year, although such trials are due to start soon. The adverse consequences of this exclusion have been compounded by initial guidance from the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI) in which it identified priority groups for vaccination.² Although pregnant and breastfeeding women could fall in six of the nine groups, JCVI recommended that women should be advised 'not to come forward for vaccination if they may be pregnant or are planning a pregnancy within 3 months of the first dose'; there was also no advice for women who are breast feeding. This is despite early reports showing, and later ones confirming, that pregnant women with COVID-19 have more ITU admissions than age-matched non-pregnant women.³

At the end of 2020, JCVI changed its stance to state that 'there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine use of COVID-19 vaccines during pregnancy' but advised that vaccination should be considered for pregnant women where risk of exposure is high and cannot be avoided or where underlying conditions put them at very high risk of serious complications of COVID-19.⁴ JCVI also advised that those trying to conceive do not need to avoid pregnancy after vaccination and women who are breast feeding may be offered vaccination. A decision aid is available to help clinicians discuss vaccination issues with pregnant women.⁵ In April 2021, the belated JCVI recommendation for pregnant women to be offered routine COVID-19 vaccination at the same time as the rest of the population is to be welcomed.⁶ The timing of the first dose should be decided on an individual basis, women at the highest risk may wish

to have it as early as possible in pregnancy and others may choose to wait until the end of the first trimester if they have concerns about the early gestation period; it should certainly be before the third trimester as this is the time of the greatest COVID-19 risk.

Social media posts have worried women with false claims about the harms of COVID-19 vaccination. Such messages spread rapidly and add to the importance of capturing data from pregnant women to establish reliable information. The recent Cumberlege report on the safety of medicines and medical devices highlighted the need for a 'system-wide healthcare intelligence unit to facilitate early signal detection', with all interactions between a patient and the health service captured in an electronic health record.⁷ The report noted that 'innovation without comprehensive pre-market testing and post-marketing surveillance and long-term monitoring of outcomes is, quite simply, dangerous'. It is particularly disappointing that lessons are not being learnt and that it is not yet possible to reliably collect data relating to COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy prospectively. Although administration of all COVID-19 vaccines is logged, this process cannot record if the person is pregnant, nor subsequently link to pregnancy outcome. This is a wasted opportunity, which in addition to providing valuable vaccine safety data could also link with COVID-19 test results to give a dashboard of vaccine efficacy in pregnancy. Currently, reporting is fragmented across the yellow card scheme with its inherent biases of harm, the voluntary inadvertent vaccination in pregnancy notification system, and, most recently, the UK Obstetric Surveillance System which together with the UK Teratology Information Service collected data on pregnant women who received a COVID-19 vaccine in February and March 2021. It is to be hoped that the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists' COVID-19 vaccination working group, in conjunction with other organisations will deliver a joined-up,

effective, 21st-century Cumberlege-approved solution to help pregnant women make informed choices. This needs to be an urgent priority.

Competing interests None declared. Refer to the online supplementary files to view the ICMJE form(s).

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

References

- 1 Prescribing in pregnancy--therapeutic discrimination? *Drug Ther Bull* 2013;51:61.
- 2 Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation. Advice on priority groups for COVID-19 vaccination, 2020. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/893pbm2u> [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].
- 3 Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, *et al*. Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK: national population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2020;369:m2107.
- 4 Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation. Advice on priority groups for COVID-19 vaccination, 30 December 2020 [online], 2021. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/3wraba8y> [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].
- 5 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Merged information sheet and decision aid [online], 2021. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/prhbckd8> [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].
- 6 Public Health England. JCVI issues new advice on COVID-19 vaccination for pregnant women [online], 2021. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/3vmm4h4n> [Accessed 19 April 2021].
- 7 Independent Medicines and Medical Devices Safety Review. First do no harm [online], 2021. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/ftbtb7r4> [Accessed 15 Apr 2021].

DOI: 10.1136/dtb.2021.000002



BMJ is committed to ensuring the independence and integrity of our content, products, and services. We strive, therefore, to be transparent about any interests that our users, customers, and partners might want to know about.

In order to maintain its independence, DTB has a strict policy on competing interests. We need to know if authors have any financial or advisory relationship with the pharmaceutical industry or related healthcare products industry (eg, medical devices or diagnostics). This includes paid or unpaid roles and involvement with industry funded clinical trials. Declarations must cover the last three calendar years.

All authors who write articles that could influence therapeutic choices (eg, drug and treatment reviews or guidelines) must be free from conflict of interest as defined above.

Please disclose any competing interests as outlined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors disclosure form (below).

Author disclosure statements are published as supplementary files with articles.

Please complete the form and return it to:

dphizackerley@bmj.com

ICMJE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date: April 2021

Your Name: Joanna Girling

Manuscript Title: COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy and breastfeeding

Manuscript number: DTB-2021-000002

In the interest of transparency, we ask you to disclose all relationships/activities/interests listed below that are related to the content of your manuscript. "Related" means any relation with for-profit or not-for-profit third parties whose interests may be affected by the content of the manuscript. Disclosure represents a commitment to transparency and does not necessarily indicate a bias. If you are in doubt about whether to list a relationship/activity/interest, it is preferable that you do so.

The following questions apply to the author's relationships/activities/interests as they relate to the current manuscript only.

The author's relationships/activities/interests should be defined broadly. For example, if your manuscript pertains to the epidemiology of hypertension, you should declare all relationships with manufacturers of antihypertensive medication, even if that medication is not mentioned in the manuscript.

In item #1 below, report all support for the work reported in this manuscript without time limit. For all other items, the time frame for disclosure is the past 36 months.

Please place an "X" next to the following statement to indicate your agreement:

		Name all entities with whom you have this relationship or indicate none (add rows as needed)	Specifications/Comments (e.g., if payments were made to you or to your institution)
Time frame: Since the initial planning of the work			
1	All support for the present manuscript (e.g., funding, provision of study materials, medical writing, article processing charges, etc.) No time limit for this item.	None	

Time frame: past 36 months			
2	Grants or contracts from any entity (if not indicated in item #1 above).	None	
3	Royalties or licenses	None	
4	Consulting fees	None	
5	Payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events	None	
6	Payment for expert testimony	None	
7	Support for attending meetings and/or travel	None	
8	Patents planned, issued or pending	None	
9	Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board	None	
10	Leadership or fiduciary role in other board, society, committee or advocacy group, paid or unpaid	None	
11	Stock or stock options	None	
12	Receipt of equipment, materials, drugs, medical writing, gifts or other services	None	
13	Other financial or non-financial interests	None	

I certify that I have answered every question and have not altered the wording of any of the questions on this form.